

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.hapto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/098,612	03/18/2002	Johan Cornelis Compter	P 290797 P-0237.010-US	3668
909	7590 01/17/2003			
	WINTHROP, LLP		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 105 MCLEAN, VA	•		FULLER, ROI	ONEY EVAN
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2851	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		ah				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
' Office Action Summers	10/098,612	COMPTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAU INC DATE of this communication on	Rodney E Fuller	2851				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.	_					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 March 2002</u> is/are:		·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		* *				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	, ,					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		24424 \ 41 \ 42				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	in priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	to be a bound to a construction of					
1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documen		A collection At				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

· Application/Control Number: 10/098,612

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following:
 - a. The abstract includes the phraseology "means" and "said" throughout.
 - b. The abstract is not limited to a single paragraph.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 12 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Furthermore, the limitation "a device manufactured according to the method of claim 11" does not set forth the metes-and-bounds or any structure of a "device."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/098,612

Art Unit: 2851

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not disclose anything related to a "computer," a "computer program" or a "program code."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bleijenberg (US 4,888,543) in view of Sperling, et al. (US 5,815,246).

Bleijenberg (US 4,888,543) discloses all the structure set forth in the independent and dependent claims related to the positioning system of the present invention. Hence, Bleijenberg discloses a "...positioning system comprising a planar motor having a stator (Fig. 1, ref.#2) and translator (Fig. 1, ref.# 5), one of said stator and translator comprising a periodic magnet structure (column 4, lines 28-30) and the other of said stator and said translator comprising a plurality of energizable coils (column 4, lines 32-33), said coils when energized in turn with an oscillating signal causing vibrations of said translator (column 2, lines 65-67), said vibrations having an amplitude less than the period of said periodic magnetic structure," and "...vibration to measure said vibrations of said

Art Unit: 2851

translator and to determine the phase relationship between said translator and said stator on the basis of said measured vibrations measurer (column 2, line 67- column 3, line 16)."

Sperling (US 5,815,246), likewise, discloses a positioning system comprising a planer motor having a stator and translator. However, Sperling also discloses "a lithographic projection apparatus comprising: a radiation system (Fig. 1, ref. 11) to provide a projection beam of radiation; a support structure (Fig. 1, ref.# 9) to support patterning structure (Fig. 1, ref.# 31), the patterning structure serves to pattern the projection beam according to a desired pattern; a substrate table (Fig. 1, ref.# 5) to hold a substrate (Fig. 1, ref.# 23);" and "...a projection system (Fig. 1, ref.# 7, 25) to project the patterned beam onto a target position of said substrate."

The positioning system in Bleijenberg and Sperling are equivalent structure in the art. Therefore, because these two positioning systems were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the positioning system described in Bleijenberg for the positioning system in Sperling.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Bouwer, et al. (US 5,204,712) and Van Eijk, et al. (US 5,172,160) each disclose a lithographic projection apparatus that includes a positioning system comprising a planar motor having a stator and a translator.

Hazelton, et al. (US 6,285,097) and Hazelton, et al. (US 6,437,463) each disclose a planer motor having a stator and a translator.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney Fuller whose telephone number is (703) 306-5641. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams, can be reached on (703) 308-2847.

Rodney Fuller Primary Examiner

January 9, 2003